

# **ResQ** : A Residual Q Function-based Approach for Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning Value Factorization

Siqi Shen<sup>+</sup>, Mengwei Qiu<sup>+</sup>, Jun Liu<sup>+</sup>, Weiquan Liu<sup>+</sup>, Yongquan Fu<sup>‡</sup>\*, Xinwang Liu<sup>‡</sup>, Cheng Wang<sup>+</sup>

siqishen@xmu.edu.cn, mengweiqiu@stu.xmu.edu.cn, yongquanf@nudt.edu.cn

+ Xiamen University‡ National University of Defense Technology



NeurIPS 2022



### Challenges in MARL



Centralized Training with Decentralized Execution paradigm (CTDE)





### **Value Factorization**

• IGM theorem:





Sunehag et al. Value-decomposition networks for cooperative multi-agent learning based on team reward. In AAMAS, 2018. Rashid et al. QMIX: monotonic value function factorisation for deep multi-agent reinforcement learning. In ICML, 2018. Son et al. QTRAN: learning to factorize with transformation for cooperative multi-agent reinforcement learning. In ICML, 2019.



### Motivating Example

A one-step two agent game.



Mask out these red numbers

Main Function Easy to be factorized Residual function Store the mask-out values

$$egin{aligned} Q_{jt}(m{ au},m{u}) &= w_{tot}(m{ au},m{u})Q_{tot}(m{ au},m{u}) + w_r(m{ au},m{u})Q_r(m{ au},m{u}) \ Q_{tot} & ext{ shares the same greedy optimal policy as } Q_{jt}. \end{aligned}$$





$$Q_{jt}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) = w_{tot}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) Q_{tot}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) + w_r(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) Q_r(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u})$$



 $Q_{tot}\,$  shares the same greedy optimal policy as  $\,Q_{jt}.\,$ 

#### **Main Function:**





ResQ can viewed as a generalization of QTran, Weight QMIX, QPLEX, DDN, and DMIX

We focus on  $Q_{jt}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) = Q_{tot}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) + w_r(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u})Q_r(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u})$ 



### **Theoretical Analysis of ResQ**

**Theorem 1.** A joint state-action function

# Satisfy the IGM Theorem without representation limitations

$$Q_{jt}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) = Q_{tot}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) + w_r(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u})Q_r(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u})$$
(5)

is factorized by  $[Q_i(\tau_i, u_i)]_{i=1}^N$ , if  $Q_r(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) \leq 0$ ,  $Q_{tot}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u})$  and  $[Q_i(\tau_i, u_i)]_{i=1}^N$  satisfy the monotonicity conditions (2), and

$$u_{r}(\boldsymbol{\tau},\boldsymbol{u}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \boldsymbol{u} = \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}, \end{cases}$$
(6a)

$$w_r(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \boldsymbol{u} \neq \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}, \end{cases}$$
(6b)

**Theorem 2.** For any joint state-action function  $Q(\tau, u)$ , we can find  $Q_{jt}(\tau, u) = Q_{tot}(\tau, u) + w_r(\tau, u)Q_r(\tau, u)$  that

$$\bar{u} = \arg\max_{u} Q(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) = \arg\max_{u} Q_{jt}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u})$$
(7)

$$Q(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) = Q_{jt}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) \quad \forall \boldsymbol{u} \neq \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}$$
(8)

 $Q_{tot}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u})$  monotonically increases with  $[Q_i(\tau_i, u_i)]_{i=1}^N$ ,  $w_r(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u})$  satisfies (6), and  $Q_r(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) \leq 0$ .





### Extending ResQ to Distributional RL



 Deterministic agent network



 Stochastic agent network





# Extending ResQ to Distributional RL

• DIGM theorem:

$$\arg\max_{\mathbf{u}} \mathbb{E}[Z_{jt}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u})] = (\arg\max_{u_1} \mathbb{E}[Z_1(\tau_1, u_1)], \ldots, \arg\max_{u_n} \mathbb{E}[Z_n(\tau_n, u_n)])$$

#### DDN / DMIX

(Mean-Shape Decomposition)  $Z = \mathbb{E}[Z] + (Z - \mathbb{E}[Z])$  $= Z_{\text{mean}} + Z_{\text{shape}}$ , (DDN)  $Z_{\text{mean}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{K}} Q_k, Z_{\text{shape}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{K}} (Z_k - Q_k)$ 

(DMIX) 
$$Z_{\text{mean}} = M(Q_1, ..., Q_K | s), Z_{\text{shape}} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{K}} (Z_k - Q_k)$$

DDN and DMIX suffer from representation limitations





## Extending ResQ to Distributional RL

### Satisfy the DIGM Theorem without representation limitations

$$Z_{jt}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) = Z_{dmix}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) + w_r(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) Z_r(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u})$$
(9)

is factorized by  $[Z_i(\tau_i, u_i)]_{i=1}^N$ , if  $Z_r(\tau, u) \leq 0$  and  $w_r(\tau, u) = 0$  when  $u = \bar{u}$ , otherwise 1.  $\bar{u}_i = \arg \max_{u_i} \mathbb{E}[Z_i(\tau_i, u_i)]$ ,  $\bar{u} = [\bar{u}_i]_{i=1}^N$ ,  $Z_{dmix}(\tau, u) = Z_{mean}(\tau, u) + Z_{shape}(\tau, u)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[Z_{shape}(\tau, u)] = 0$ ,  $Q_i = \mathbb{E}[Z_i(\tau_i, u_i)]$ .  $Z_{mean}(\tau, u)$  is a monotonic increasing function with respect to  $Q_i$ .

**Theorem 4.** A stochastic joint state-action function

**Theorem 3.** A stochastic joint state-action function

$$Z_{jt}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) = Z_{tot}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) + w_r(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) Z_r(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u})$$
(10)

is factorized by  $[Z_i(\tau_i, u_i)]_{i=1}^N$ , if  $Z_r(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) \leq 0$ ,  $Z_{tot}(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) = \sum_{i=1}^N k_i Z_i(\tau_i, u_i)$   $k_i \geq 0$  and  $w_r(\boldsymbol{\tau}, \boldsymbol{u}) = 0$  when  $\boldsymbol{u} = \bar{\boldsymbol{u}}$ , otherwise 1, where  $\bar{\boldsymbol{u}} = [\bar{u}_i]_{i=1}^N \bar{u}_i = \arg \max_{u_i} \mathbb{E}[Z_i(\tau_i, u_i)]$ .





### Experiments — Matrix game

$u_2$ $u_1$	A	В	С
A	8	-12	-12
В	-12	0	0
С	-12	0	7.9

|--|

$Q_2$ $Q_1$	<b>0.108 (A)</b>	-0.300 (B)	0.106 (C)
<b>0.108(A)</b>	8.03	-12.00	-11.99
-0.300(B)	-12.00	0.00	0.00
0.106(C)	-12.00	0.00	7.87

(b) ResQ: 
$$Q_1, Q_2, Q_{jt}$$

$Z_2$ $Z_1$	<b>0.82</b> (A)	-0.77(B)	0.77(C)
<b>0.82(A)</b>	7.96	-12.37	-12.37
-0.77(B)	-12.13	-0.27	-0.38
0.77(C)	-12.22	-0.27	7.86

(c) ResZ:  $\mathbb{E}[Z_{tot}], \mathbb{E}[Z_1], \mathbb{E}[Z_2]$ 

$Q_1$ $Q_2$ $Q_1$	-6.07(A)	-0.07(B)	0.04(C)
-6.09(A)	-10.88	-9.99	-9.93
-0.07(B)	-9.92	-0.20	0.16
<b>0.04(C)</b>	-9.85	0.15	7.81

$Q_1$ $Q_2$ $Q_1$	-6.70(A)	-0.23(B)	1.45(C)
-6.70(A)	-13.40	-6.94	-5.25
-0.24(B)	-6.93	-0.47	1.22
1.45(C)	-5.25	1.22	2.91

$Q_1$ $Q_2$ $Q_1$	3.48(A)	0.15(B)	3.46(C)
<b>3.27(A)</b>	8.00	4.67	7.98
0.15(B)	4.88	1.55	4.86
3.26(C)	7.99	4.65	7.97

(d) DMIX:  $Q_1, Q_2, Q_{jt}$ 

(e) DDN:  $Q_1, Q_2, Q_{jt}$ 

(f) QTran:  $Q_1, Q_2, Q_{jt}$ 

$Q_2$ $Q_1$	0.07(A)	-150(B)	0.08(C)
0.07(A)	15.7	-3.72	0.34
-150(B)	-2.62	12.66	12.65
0.08(C)	-1.20	12.44	15.83

(g) QPlex:  $Q_1, Q_2, Q_{jt}$ 

$Q_1$ $Q_2$	<b>0.17(A)</b>	-25.72(B)	-25.74(C)
0.17(A)	8.00	-5.04	-5.04
-24.55(B)	-5.04	-5.04	-5.04
-24.55(C)	-5.04	-5.04	-5.04

(h) CW QMIX:  $Q_1, Q_2, Q_{jt}$ 

$Q_1$ $Q_2$	-0.03(A)	-50.79(B)	<b>0.26(C)</b>
<b>0.22(A)</b>	6.07	-0.87	6.86
-50.32(B)	-0.86	-0.87	-0.16
0.04(C)	5.49	-0.87	6.29

(i) OW QMIX:  $Q_1, Q_2, Q_{jt}$ 



# Experiments —— Starcraft II Multi-agent Challenge(SMAC)





NEURAL INFORMATION PROCESSING SYSTEMS



### **Experiments** — Predator Prey







### **Experiments** — ablations







### Summary

- ResQ, a residual function-based approach for Multi-Agent Reinforcement Learning value function factorization.
- Through extensive experiments, we show that ResQ can obtain promising results.

For more details, please check our project page: <u>https://github.com/xmu-rl-3dv/ResQ</u>

Contact us: <u>siqishen@xmu.edu.cn</u> <u>mengweiqiu@stu.xmu.edu.cn</u> <u>yongquanf@nudt.edu.cn</u>





### **Thanks for your attention!**