# Stochastic Runge-Kutta Accelerates Langevin Monte Carlo and Beyond

Xuechen Li 1,2

Denny Wu<sup>1,2</sup> Lester Mackey <sup>3</sup> Murat A. Erdogdu<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Toronto

 $^{2}\mbox{Vector}$  Institute

<sup>3</sup>Microsoft Research



VECTOR INSTITUTE Research

### The Problem and Our Work

Given smooth potential  $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ , sample from given density

 $p(x) \propto \exp(-f(x)).$ 

- We study both strongly convex and non-convex potentials.
- Many papers study individual algorithms [1, 2, 3, 4, 5]. However, there has yet to be a unifying theoretical framework.
- We provide a theorem that gives the convergence rate of sampling algorithms obtained by discretizing an *exponentially contracting diffusion* based on **local properties** of the numerical method.
- A direct extension is we obtain faster converging algorithms with the class of *stochastic Runge-Kutta* (SRK) methods.

### Exponential W<sub>2</sub>-Contraction of Diffusions

Diffusion  $X_t$  has exponential  $W_2$ -contraction if two instances  $X_{t,x}, X_{t,y}$  initiated respectively from x and y satisfy

$$W_2(X_{t,x},X_{t,y}) \leq e^{-lpha t} \|x-y\|_2, \quad ext{for all } x,y \in \mathbb{R}^d, t \geq 0.$$

**Informal:** The marginals of the continuous-time diffusion become the same very quickly regardless of the initial state.

**Example:** When *f* is strongly convex, the Langevin diffusion characterized by the SDE

$$\mathrm{d}X_t = -\nabla f(X_t) \,\mathrm{d}t + \sqrt{2} \,\mathrm{d}B_t$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

has exponential  $W_2$ -contraction.

# **Local Deviation**

Let  $\{\tilde{X}_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$  be a discretization of  $\{X_t\}_{t\geq 0}$ , and  $\{X_s^{(k)}\}_{s\geq 0}$  be another instance of the diffusion starting from  $\tilde{X}_{k-1}$  at s = 0. The *local deviation* at iteration k is defined as  $D_h^{(k)} = X_h^{(k)} - \tilde{X}_k$ .



◆ロ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ > ◆ □ >

#### **Uniform Orders of Local Deviation**

Recall local deviation  $D_h^{(k)} = X_h^{(k)} - \tilde{X}_k$ . A numerical scheme has uniform mean-square and mean orders of  $(p_1, p_2)$  if for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ 

$$\mathcal{E}_{k}^{(1)} = \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}\left[\|D_{h}^{(k)}\|_{2}^{2}|\mathcal{F}_{t_{k-1}}\right]\right] \le \lambda_{1}h^{2p_{1}},\tag{1}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_{k}^{(2)} = \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\mathbb{E}\left[D_{h}^{(k)}|\mathcal{F}_{t_{k-1}}\right]\right\|_{2}^{2}\right] \leq \lambda_{2}h^{2p_{2}},\tag{2}$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

for constants  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  independent of h.

**Remark:** Bounds like (1) appeared explicitly in previous works (see e.g. [1]). To the best of our knowledge, (2) did not appear explicitly in previous works.

# A General Theorem

#### Theorem (Informal)

Diffusion has a stationary distribution  $p(x) \propto \exp(-f(x))$  and exhibits exponential W<sub>2</sub>-contraction. Acting on this diffusion, a numerical discretization with uniform mean-square and mean orders of  $(p_1, p_2)$  for  $p_2 \ge p_1 + \frac{1}{2}$  has rate  $\tilde{O}(\epsilon^{-1/(p_1-1/2)})$  in W<sub>2</sub>.

**Remark 1:** Connects the numerical SDE and sampling literatures: Take any classical SDE discretization method, instantly know the convergence rate when it's used for sampling!

**Remark 2:** Can also be used for discretizing the underdamped Langenvin diffusion! Check out our examples in the paper.

# **Convergence Rates for EM and SRK**

Result	Diffusion	Smoothness	Unif. Orders	Rate
EM (Durmus et al.)	Langevin	1st	(1.0, 1.5)	$ ilde{\mathcal{O}}(d\epsilon^{-2})$
EM (Ex. 1)	Langevin	1st & 2nd	(1.5, 2.0)	$ ilde{\mathcal{O}}(d\epsilon^{-1})$
SRK-LD (This work)	Langevin	1st-3rd	(2.0, 2.5)	$ ilde{\mathcal{O}}(d\epsilon^{-2/3})$
EM (Ex. 2)	General	1st	(1.0, 1.5)	$ ilde{\mathcal{O}}(d\epsilon^{-2})$
SRK-ID (This work)	General	1st	(1.5, 2.0)	$ ilde{\mathcal{O}}(d^{3/4}m^2\epsilon^{-1})$

**Table:** Convergence rates in  $W_2$ , i.e. number of iterations required to reach  $\epsilon$  accuracy to the target in  $W_2$ . Top three for strongly convex f; bottom two for non-convex f that admits uniformly dissipative diffusion.

EM = Euler-MaruyamaSRK = Stochastic Runge-Kutta Thanks to you and my coauthors:



Denny Wu



Lester Mackey



Murat A. Erdogdu

### Our poster: East Exhibition Hall B + C #162

- Xiang Cheng, Niladri S Chatterji, Peter L Bartlett, and Michael I Jordan. Underdamped Langevin MCMC: A non-asymptotic analysis.
- [2] Arnak S Dalalyan. Theoretical guarantees for approximate sampling from smooth and log-concave densities.
- [3] Alain Durmus, Eric Moulines, et al. Nonasymptotic convergence analysis for the unadjusted langevin algorithm.
- [4] Yin Tat Lee, Zhao Song, and Santosh S Vempala. Algorithmic theory of odes and sampling from well-conditioned logconcave densities.
- [5] Santosh S Vempala and Andre Wibisono. Rapid convergence of the unadjusted langevin algorithm: Log-sobolev suffices.