PHYSICS-INFORMED DOMAIN-AWARE ATMOSPHERIC **RADIATIVE TRANSFER EMULATOR FOR ALL SKY** CONDITIONS

Objective: Guide a Deep Learning Framework with Cloud Radiative Forcing Physics

Piyush Garg, Emil Constantinescu, Bethany Lusch, Troy Arcomano, Jiali Wang, Rao Kotamarthi Argonne National Laboratory, Lemont, IL

Contact: pgarg@anl.gov

INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

- Radiative transfer modeling is a complex and computationally expensive process that is used to predict how radiation interacts with the atmosphere and Earth's surface.
- Shortwave (SW) radiation is mostly incoming solar radiation ($\lambda \le 4\mu$) while longwave (LW) spectrum is primarily terrestrial infrared radiation ($\lambda \ge 4\mu$). Both these spectrums work in tandem with each other to create the energy balance in the Earth's atmosphere.
- These radiation interactions are represented by widely-developed radiative transfer models (RTMs) as a process-scale model in the numerical weather prediction and climate models (Mlawer et al., 1997).

These RTMs are computationally expensive to run at every model time step and thus machine learning-based radiation emulators can help reduce the computational cost and improve the accuracy of weather and climate models.

DATASET USED

- The data used in this study is from 28 years of output from the regional climate model using Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) version **3.3.1**, driven by the National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP-R2) from 1982 to 2009.
- The model covers the entire troposphere with 38 vertical levels from surface to ~16 km (110 hPa).
- This version uses Rapid

INPUTS & OUTPUTS

Inputs

- Surface: Albedo, solar zenith angle, elevation, air temperature, atmospheric pressure
- Vertical: Ozone, water vapor, ice, cloud water, rain concentration, cloud fraction

Outputs

• *LW*: Outgoing longwave radiation (OLR), Up and Down fluxes at TOA and surface, heating rates



В

Figure 1: Radiative Transfer in the Atmosphere

A B

D

PHYSICS-INFORMED DOMAIN-AWARE NETWORK



- Figure 2 depicts our PIDA-CNN architecture where we have 38 hidden layer blocks containing a 1D Convolutional layer (32 filters) followed by a Max Pooling and dropout layer, which connects to a dense layer of 64 neurons (similar to Wang et al., 2019).
- The output of this block is passed as an additional input to the next neural network block and it repeats 37 times.
- The outputs of these blocks are then concatenated into one layer which then connects to a dense layer of 128 neurons.
- The final output is SW/LW heating rates at 38 vertical levels and upward and downward fluxes at the top of the atmosphere and surface. SZA: Solar Zenith Angle
- The following equations describe the custom loss functions for SW and LW outputs where $\mathcal{L}_{\delta}(y, \hat{y})$ is the traditional Huber loss function

Radiation Transfer Model for GCMs (RRTMG; Pincus et al., 2003).

• SW: Up and Down fluxes at TOA and surface, heating rates

HEATING RATES PERFORMANCE



TOA/SURFACE FLUXES



SW flux prediction is better than LW flux prediction.

with additional penalties for SZA, CRF, and an L_1 regularization term. $\mathcal{L}_{SW}(y,\widehat{y}, \beth_{sza}, \beth_{CRF}) = \mathcal{L}_{\delta}(y,\widehat{y}) + \mathcal{L}_{\delta}(y,\widehat{y}) * \beth_{sza} + \mathcal{L}_{\delta}(y,\widehat{y}) * \beth_{CRF} + L_{1}$ $\mathcal{L}_{LW}(y, \hat{y}, \beth_{CRF}) = \mathcal{L}_{\delta}(y, \hat{y}) + \mathcal{L}_{\delta}(y, \hat{y}) * \beth_{CRF} + L_1$

We compare our results with a baseline CNN (DA-CNN) without a custom loss function.

Figure 6: RMSE and MAE for LW/SW CRF

CONCLUSIONS

- In this study, we develop an atmospheric radiative transfer emulator for all sky conditions (cloudy) using a CNN architecture where we physically constrain the SW and LW vertical heating rates and fluxes using solar position and cloud radiative forcing.
- We also enforce the vertical dependence of atmospheric layers using a "Domain-Aware" approach.
- Our PIDA-CNN emulator obtains low RMSE/MAE and high correlation in predicting both SW and LW fluxes, heating rates, and CRF.

NEXT STEPS

- The emulator is ~100 times faster than traditional RRTMG on GPUs.
- This speed-up would allow us to couple the emulator with a traditional dynamical core of WRF/SCREAM.
- In our next steps, we aim to couple the PIDA-CNN emulator with the WRF/E3SM dynamical core to run radiative transfer parameterization at every model time step.
- With this exercise, we hope to improve the accuracy of weather and climate predictions.

REFERENCES

- Mlawer, E. J., Taubman, S. J., Brown, P. D., Iacono, M. J., and Clough, S. A. (1997), Radiative transfer for inhomogeneous atmospheres: RRTM, a validated correlated-k model for the longwave, J. Geophys. Res., 102(D14), 16663-16682, doi:10.1029/97JD00237.
- Pincus, R., H.W. Barker, and J.-J. Morcrette, A fast, flexible, approximate technique for computing radiative transfer in inhomogeneous clouds. J. Geophys. Res., 108(D), 4376, doi:10.1029/2002JD003322, 2003.
- Wang, J., Balaprakash, P., and Kotamarthi, R.: Fast domain-aware neural network emulation of a planetary boundary layer parameterization in a numerical weather forecast model, Geosci. Model Dev., 12, 4261-4274, https://doi.org/10.5194/gmd-12-4261-2019, 2019.



