# **Pretrained transformer efficiently learns** low-dimensional target functions in-context

Kazusato Oko, Yujin Song, Taiji Suzuki, Denny Wu NeurIPS 2024 @ Vancouver Convention Centre





### In-Context Learning (ICL)

- Pretrained transformers can recognize patterns from prompts without updating model parameters
- A very short context can be sufficient

Please guess the number that fits in the '?'.

### Question





The pattern in the given pairs of numbers appears to be the sum of the two numbers.

So, the number that fits in the '?' is 32.



### **Prior Works**

- Known fact: linear transformers can emulate linear regression on the context in its forward pass [ACDS23, MHM23, ZFB23...]
  - requires the same context length (N) as the amount of data needed for linear regression
  - higher vector dimension (of x) → higher required context length

### Q: Can TF outperform learning algorithms applied directly to the prompt? (in terms of context length)



### Our Main Message

Q: Can TF outperform learning algorithms working directly on prompt? A: Yes, by adapting to the problem structure during pretraining

## **Problem Setting**

- Learning single-index functions in-context
- On *t*-th prompt,  $\mathbf{x} \sim N(0, \mathbf{I}_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  and  $y = \sigma_*^t(\mathbf{x}^\mathsf{T}\boldsymbol{\beta}^t) \begin{cases} y \text{ depends only on the} \\ \text{direction of } \boldsymbol{\beta}^t \end{cases}$ 
  - $\sigma_*^t$  : random polynomial of degree P (nonlinear) •  $\boldsymbol{\beta}^t \in \mathbb{R}^d$  : random vector drawn from  $r \ll d$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{R}^d$

**Problem distribution is low-dimensional** 

• Learning algorithms (kernel, NN...) on the test prompt need poly(d) samples ... Can pretrained TF outperform them? [GMMM21, BAGJ21]





 $\begin{aligned} \mathbf{x}_1 \to \mathbf{y}_1 &= \sigma_*^t(\mathbf{x}_1^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}^t) \\ \mathbf{x}_2 \to \mathbf{y}_2 &= \sigma_*^t(\mathbf{x}_2^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}^t) \\ \vdots \end{aligned}$ 

prompt t



### **Our Main Result**



Required prompt length only depends on the inner dimension r

Baseline algorithms (kernel, NN) require d-dependent amount of data  $\rightarrow$  superiority under  $r \ll d$ \*Pretraining is nonconvex optimization... end-to-end optimization & generalization analysis

 Consider pretraining a single-layer transformer (nonlinear MLP+attention) on  $\mathcal{Q}^{\Theta(Q)}$  tasks with a prompt length of  $\mathcal{Q}^{\Theta(Q)}$  (Q: lowest degree of  $\sigma_*$  in  $y = \sigma_*(x^\top \beta)$ ).

Pretraining

• 
$$\hat{y}^q(X, y, x^q)$$

Estimation of  $y^q$ 

I.One-step gradient descent on MLP weight

II.Ridge regression on attention matrix

• Theorem TF pretrained above achieves low test error ( $\mathbb{E}[|\hat{y}^q - y^q|] = o_d(1)$ ) if context length N<sup>\*</sup> at test prompt satisfies N<sup>\*</sup>  $\gtrsim r^{4P}$  (P: highest degree of  $\sigma_*$ )





### Experiment

- We fix the inner dimension r = 8, while altering the ambient dimensiom d from 16 to 64, for the problem  $y = \sigma_*^t(\mathbf{x}^\top \boldsymbol{\beta}^t)$ .
- NN performance deteriorates with increasing d
- GPT-2 achieves low test error even when d is high



## Takeaway & Mechanism

• Takeaway: TF can adapt to the prior distribution of problems via pretraining

• Mechanism: pretrained MLP neurons align with the *r*-dimensional subspace



 This "memorization" of the prior distribution of problems results in d-free context length complexity

Almost contained in the support of  $\pmb{\beta}$ 

## See you in Vancouver!

### preprint: https://arxiv.org/abs/2411.02544

