

Understanding Multi-Granularity for Open-Vocabulary Part Segmentation

(NeurIPS 2024)

Jiho Choi¹*, Seonho Lee¹*, Seungho Lee² , Minhyun Lee² , Hyunjung Shim¹

¹ Graduate School of Artificial Intelligence, KAIST, Republic of Korea ² School of Integrated Technology, Yonsei University, Republic of Korea





1. Introduction [1/5] Open-Vocabulary Part Segmentation (OVPS)



- Task of segmenting different **parts** of an object in an image using **textual descriptions**
 - textual descriptions that are not constrained by a fixed set of predefined labels
- Recognizing parts that are more complex and diverse than object



1. Introduction [2/5] Motivations of OVPS

Why OVPS?

- Practical Usages
 - Robot instruction: Handle of tools (knife, ladle, pot)
 - Part Image Editing / Generation
- Multi-granularity Understanding
 - (Biomimicry) Mimicking and understanding animal instincts
 - e.g. When a "cheetah" hunts an "impala", it can distinguish the "neck"



Figure 1: Task Description for InstructPart: Presented with an image observation (left) and a corresponding instruction (in the blue box on the left), the model is required to identify and output the specific part segment (highlighted in the green mask on the right) referenced in the instruction.











3D Part Guided Image Editing for Fine-grained Object Understanding (CVPR 2020) InstructPart: Affordance-based Part Segmentation from Language Instruction (AAAIW 2024) The Guardian - https://www.theguardian.com/technology/2020/dec/06/the-robot-kitchen-that-will-make-you-dinner-and-wash-up-too National Geographic - https://youtu.be/xVxMisFY3GY?si=kV92174pFiUsFUdx&t=180 InstanceDiffusion: Instance-level Control for Image Generation (CVRP 2024)



1. Introduction [3/5] Challenges of OVPS

Perspective on Semantic Segmentation

• Parts are **smaller** in size and **diverse** in category compared to objects

'Knowledge-based' characteristics of Parts

- Unlike Semantic, Instance, and Panoptic Segmentation, which can achieve clear answers solely from **visual information** present in nature, Parts reflect a knowledge-based nature, making the alignment with language crucial
- Parts are defined by **linguistic or social consensus**

'Open Granularity' characteristics of Parts:

- A pixel can be labeled as 'nose,' 'face,' or 'head' depending on the annotation
 - can yield **different ground truth** answers
 - analogous to the "ambiguity" discussed in SAM
- Parts are based on relative and competitive concepts



[Top] PASCAL-Part (2014) [Bottom] Left: PartImageNet (2022) | Right: OV-PARTS (2023)

VLPart: Going Denser with Open-Vocabulary Part Segmentation (ICCV 2023) OV-PARTS: Towards Open-Vocabulary Part Segmentation (NeurIPS Datasets and Benchmarks Track 2023) Segment Anything (ICCV 2023)

1. Introduction [4/5] Previous OVPS Methods

VLPart (ICCV 2023.10)

 DINO features to map correspondences between base and novel classes and creates pseudo labels

● → analogy

OV-PARTS (NeurIPS B&D 2023.12)

- introduces object mask prompts and transferring knowledge of base class with few-shot approach
- Image-Text Alignment
 - FiLM: Feature-wise Linear Modulation



Pipeline of VLPart



Overall Framework of OV-PARTS

VLPart: Going Denser with Open-Vocabulary Part Segmentation (ICCV 2023)

OV-PARTS: Towards Open-Vocabulary Part Segmentation (NeurIPS Datasets and Benchmarks Track 2023)

FiLM: Visual Reasoning with a General Conditioning Layer (AAAI 2018)

1. Introduction [5/5] Limitations of Previous Methods



Zero-shot Part Segmentation

Problem Phenomenon 1) Object-level / Part-level Label Misclassification

Assumed Cause 1) Lack of Object-level Context / Lack of Part-level Generalization



(a) Lack of generalization

Problem Phenomenon 2) Incomplete / Ambiguous Boundaries

Assumed Cause 2) Lack of Competitive / Relative Partitioning Inductive Bias



(b) Ambiguous boundaries

Problem Phenomenon 3) Missing Labels

Assumed Cause 3) Small Size / Low-frequency Labels



(c) Missing underrepresented part



3. Method [1/4] PartCLIPSeg [1/1]

- modified the architecture from CLIPSeg
- Generalized Parts with Object-level Contexts
- Attention Control for Ambiguity and Omission



3. Method [2/4] Generalized Parts with Object-level Contexts [1/2]

Object-level (Global) Context / Part-level Generalization

considers **parts** as **common structural components** across object categories and integrates **object** contexts

> common structural

components

- → Part Information, Boundary Information, Holistic Understanding 0
- previous approaches
 - VLPart (base/novel correspondence, pseudo-label) 0
 - OV-PARTS (few-shot samples) 0



object: cat, cow, horse etc. part: head, ear, neck, torso, leg, paw etc.



object: dog etc. part: head, ear, neck, torso, leg, paw etc.

Test (novel)





3. Method [3/4] Generalized Parts with Object-level Contexts [2/2]

Object and Part Embedding Generation

- feature extraction with CLIP image, text encoders
 - 0 $\mathbf{e}_{\text{[obi | part]}}^{\mathcal{T}} = \text{CLIP}_{\mathcal{T}}^{*}(\mathbf{c}_{\text{[obj | part]}}), \mathbf{e}^{\mathcal{I}} = \text{CLIP}_{\mathcal{T}}^{*}(\mathcal{I})$
- conditioning image features with FiLM
 - FiLM: an adaptive affine transformation 0
 - $\mathbf{e}_{\text{[obi | part]}}^{\mathcal{I}} = \mathbf{e}^{\mathcal{I}} \oplus \text{FiLM}(\mathbf{e}_{\text{[obi | part]}}^{\mathcal{T}})$

Object-specific Part Construction

- reconstruction
 - $\mathbf{e}_{\text{obj-part}}^{[\mathcal{T}|\mathcal{I}]} = \texttt{Proj}(\left[\mathbf{e}_{\text{obj}}^{[\mathcal{T}|\mathcal{I}]} \mid \mathbf{e}_{\text{part}}^{[\mathcal{T}|\mathcal{I}]}\right])$ 0

Mask Supervision

Object, Part, and Object-specific Part





Learning Transferable Visual Models From Natural Language Supervision (ICML 2021) FiLM: Visual Reasoning with a General Conditioning Laver (AAAI 2018)





(a) Ground-truth

(b) Object-level Pred. (c) Generalized Parts Pred.

3. Method [4/4] Attention Control for Ambiguity and Omission [1/1]

Self-attention activation maps

- visual tokens belonging to the same object-specific part mask should exhibit inter-similarity characteristics
- average self-attention map

 \bigcirc

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{c}}} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{c}}|} \sum_{(h,w) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{c}}} \left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}_{\mathsf{obj}}}[h,w,:,:] + \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{c}_{\mathsf{part}}}[h,w,:,:] \right)$$

Minimizing Part Overlaps for Ambiguity

- mitigates the ambiguity issue in part boundaries
- parts with minimized intersection (binarize)

$$\mathcal{L}_{sep} = \frac{1}{|\mathbf{C}|} \left| \frac{\{(h, w) \mid \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{C}} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{c}}}(h, w) > 1\}}{\{(h, w) \mid \sum_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{C}} \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{c}}}(h, w) \ge 1\}} \right|$$

Enhancing Part Activation for Omission

• the minimum activation of the part with the maximum value is enhanced

$$^{\circ} \qquad \mathcal{L}_{\texttt{enh}} = 1 - \min_{\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{C}} \left(\max_{(h, w) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{c}}} \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{c}}}[h, w] \right)$$





4. Experiments [1/5] Experimental Setups [1/1]



Datasets:

- Pascal-Part-116 (9.2k)
- ADE20K-Part-234 (8.3k)
- PartImageNet (40 classes from 158 categories)

Tasks:

- Zero-Shot Part Segmentation (Cross-category Part Segmentation)
 - e.g. Unseen: bird, car, dog, sheep, motorbike
- Cross-dataset Part Segmentation

Evaluation Protocols:

- Pred-All (w/o object mask)
- Oracle-Obj (w/ object mask)

4. Experiments [2/5] Performance Evaluation [1/3]

Quantitative Results

- Zero-shot Part Segmentation
 - Pascal-Part-116
 - ADE20K-Part-234
 - PartImageNet

- Cross-dataset Part Segmentation
 - PartImageNet → Pascal-Part-116

Table 4: Cross-dataset performance.

Method	Pred-All	Oracle-Obj
PartImageNet	\rightarrow Pascal-P	art-116
CLIPSeg [32, 46]	11.72	14.87
PartCLIPSeg (Ours)	14.74	19.86 (+4,99)
ADE20K-Part-2	$34 \rightarrow Pascal$	l-Part-116
CLIPSeg [32, 46]	5.41	17.82
PartCLIPSeg (Ours)	10.37	17.94

Table 1: Comparison of zero-shot performance with state-of-the-art methods on Pascal-Part-116.

Method	Backbone	Pred-All			Oracle-Obj			
		Seen	Unseen	Harmonic	Seen	Unseen	Harmonic	
ZSSeg+ [52]	ResNet-50	38.05	3.38	6.20	54.43	19.04	28.21	
VLPart [40]	ResNet-50	35.21	9.04	14.39	42.61	18.70	25.99	
CLIPSeg [32, 46]	ViT-B/16	27.79	13.27	17.96	48.91	27.54	35.24	
CAT-Seg [11, 46]	ViT-B/16	28.17	25.42	26.72	36.20	28.72	32.03	
PartCLIPSeg (Ours)	ViT-B/16	$\textbf{43.91}_{\pm 0.45}$	$\underline{23.56}_{\pm 0.21}$	$30.67_{\pm 0.09}_{\tiny (+3.94)}$	$\underline{50.02}_{\pm 0.51}$	$\textbf{31.67}_{\pm 0.29}$	38.79 _{±0.13}	

¹ The best score is **bold** and the second-best score is <u>underlined</u>. The standard error of an average of 5 results is reported. These are the same for all experiments.

Table 2: Comparison of zero-shot performance with state-of-the-art methods on ADE20K-Part-234.

Method	Backbone	Pred-All			Oracle-Obj			
		Seen	Unseen	Harmonic	Seen	Unseen	Harmonic	
ZSSeg+ [52]	ResNet-50	32.20	0.89	1.74	43.19	27.84	33.85	
CLIPSeg [32, 46]	ViT-B/16	3.14	0.55	0.93	38.15	30.92	34.15	
CAT-Seg [11, 46]	ViT-B/16	7.02	2.36	3.53	28.01	21.24	24.16	
PartCLIPSeg (Ours)	ViT-B/16	$\underline{14.15}_{\pm 0.51}$	$\textbf{9.52}_{\pm 0.13}$	$11.38_{\pm 0.10}_{\tiny (+7.85)}$	$\underline{38.37}_{\pm 0.14}$	$\textbf{38.82}_{\pm 0.31}$	$38.60_{\pm 0.08}$	

Table 3: Comparison of zero-shot performance with state-of-the-art method on PartImageNet.

Method	Backbone	Pred-All			Oracle-Obj		
		Seen	Unseen	Harmonic	Seen	Unseen	Harmonic
CLIPSeg [32, 46] PartCLIPSeg (Ours)	ViT-B/16 ViT-B/16	$\begin{array}{c} 32.39\\\textbf{38.82}_{\pm0.74}\end{array}$	$12.27 \\ \textbf{19.47}_{\pm 0.45}$	17.80 25.94 $_{\pm 0.32}$	53.91 56.26 _{±0.29}	$\begin{array}{c} 37.17 \\ \textbf{51.65}_{\pm 0.62} \end{array}$	44.00 53.85±0.37

4. Experiments [3/5] Performance Evaluation [2/3]

Qualitative Results [1/2]

• Pred-All



VAICT

(a) Ground-truth (b) VLPart [40] (c) CLIPSeg [38, 46] (d) CAT-Seg [11, 46] (e) PartCLIPSeg (Ours)

Figure 5: Qualitative results of zero-shot part segmentation on Pascal-Part-116 in **Pred-All** setting. Annotations for unseen categories (bird, car, dog, sheep, etc.) are not included in the train set.

4. Experiments [4/5] Performance Evaluation [3/3]

Qualitative Results [2/2]

• Oracle-obj



VAICT

(a) Ground-truth (b) VLPart [40] (c) CLIPSeg [38, 46] (d) CAT-Seg [11, 46] (e) PartCLIPSeg (Ours) Figure 6: Qualitative results of zero-shot part segmentation on Pascal-Part-116 in **Oracle-Obj** setting.

4. Experiments [5/5] Ablation Study [1/1]

- Separation & Enhance Losses
 - both separation and enhance losses in improving performance

• Impact of PartCLIPSeg for Underrepresented Parts

• (small parts)



Figure A2: Comparison of results using only $\mathcal{L}_{\texttt{sep}}$ (top) with both $\mathcal{L}_{\texttt{sep}}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\texttt{enh}}$ (bottom). The heatmap illustrates attention activation for the "sheep's neck" class.



Table 5: Impact of attention control losses.

L	OSS		Pred-A	11		Oracle-O	j
\mathcal{L}_{sep}	\mathcal{L}_{enh}	Lenh Seen Unse		Harmonic Seen		Unseen	Harmonic
			Pa	scal-Part-116	5		
×	×	43.86	21.89	29.20	49.09	31.26	38.20
~	×	44.01	23.18	30.37	50.37	31.45	38.72
~	V	43.91	23.56	30.67	50.02	31.67	38.79
			AD	E20K-Part-23	34		
×	×	10.86	8.33	9.43	37.39	36.49	36.93
~	×	12.78	9.38	10.82	39.46	36.04	37.67
~	~	14.15	9.52	11.38	38.37	38.82	38.60

Table 7: Impact of PartCLIPSeg for small parts on Pascal-Part-116 in Oracle-Obj setting. (mIoU)

Part: "eye"	bird	cat	cow	dog	sheep	person
CLIPSeg [32, 46]	3.33	18.77	3.65	16.05	0.00	15.30
PartCLIPSeg (Ours)	1.95	31.01	28.16	32.79	0.67	29.16
Part: "neck"	bird	cat	cow	dog	sheep	person
CLIPSeg [32, 46]	19.09	6.57	0.78	8.12	8.47	30.93
PartCLIPSeg (Ours)	32.51	12.00	2.75	16.37	18.80	50.71
Part: "leg"	bird	cat	cow	dog	sheep	person
CLIPSeg [32, 46]	19.61	38.62	27.85	39.34	52.63	52.67
PartCLIPSeg (Ours)	31.12	44.82	63.78	41.55	54.73	55.35

Conclusion



- introduced SOTA OVPS method: PartCLIPSeg
- utilizes generalized parts and object-level guidance
- separates parts by minimizing their overlaps in attention maps, handling ambiguous part boundaries
- enhanced loss function to improve the detection of underrepresented parts
- Code: <u>https://github.com/kaist-cvml/part-clipseg/</u>



Appendix

2. Related Work [1/3] VLM & Open-Vocabulary

Open-Vocabulary & Zero-shot Learning

• Predicting **unseen** (**novel**) category names, not present in the train set (**seen**, **base**), is possible using the embedding space of Vision-Language Models (VLMs) like CLIP





t-SNE Visualization



Open-vocabulary Object Detection via Vision and Language Knowledge Distillation (ICLR 2022) Learning to Generate Text-grounded Mask for Open-world Semantic Segmentation from Only Image-Text Pairs (CVPR 2023) Towards Open Vocabulary Learning: A Survey (PAMI 2024)



2. Related Work [2/3] Open-Vocabulary Dense Prediction

Two-Stage OVSS / OVIS

- ZSSeg (ECCV 2022)
 - class-agnostic mask proposal
 - classify proposals with CLIP embedding







One-Stage OVSS

- LSeg (ICLR 2022), MaskCLIP (ECCV 2022)
 - (language-driven semantic segmentation)
 - image-text similarity (alignment)
 - ViT attention map as saliency
 - image-text cross-attention

* Open-vocabulary Semantic Segmentation (OVSS)

** Open-vocabulary Instance Segmentation (OVIS)

ZSSeg: A Simple Baseline for Open-Vocabulary Semantic Segmentation with Pre-trained Vision-language Model (ECCV 2022) LSeg: Language-driven Semantic Segmentation (ICLR 2022) MaskCLIP: Extract Free Dense Labels from CLIP (ECCV 2022)

2. Related Work [3/3] Part Segmentation

ΚΔΙΣΤ

Multishear self-attention

Multi-hea cross-attent

High-level Low-leve

mhedding emheddi

Compositing Module

Compose part to object Cluster pixel to part

Compute pixel feature

Pixel embedding

- Datasets
 - CUB-200-2011 (2011) 0
 - PASCAL-Part (CVPR 2014) 0
 - DeepFashion (CVPR 2016) 0
 - PartImageNet (ECCV 2022) 0
 - OV-PARTS (NeurIPS B&D 2023) 0
 - SubPartImageNet (ECCV 2024) 0
 - ShapeNet (2015) / PartNet-Mobility (CVPR 2019) 0
- Tasks (≈)
 - Part Segmentation 0
 - **Fine-grained Segmentation** 0
 - Part Discovery (unsupervised, clustering) 0
 - **3D** Part Segmentation 0
 - → fine-grained understanding



PartImageNet: A Large, High-Quality Dataset of Parts (ECCV 2022) PACO: Parts and Attributes of Common Objects (CVPR 2023)

OV-PARTS: Towards Open-Vocabulary Part Segmentation (NeurIPS Datasets and Benchmarks Track 2023) SPIN: Hierarchical Segmentation with Subpart Granularity in Natural Images (ECCV 2024)

Unsupervised Part Discovery from Contrastive Reconstruction (NeurIPS 2021) Compositor: Bottom-up Clustering and Compositing for Robust Part and Object Segmentation (CVPR 2023)

3. Method PartCLIPSeg [1/1]



