Regret Lower Bounds for Decentralized Multi-Agent Stochastic Shortest Path Problems

Utkarsh U. Chavan[†] Prashant Trivedi^{*} Nandyala Hemachandra[†]

[†]Indian Institute of Technology Bombay

*University of Petroleum & Energy Studies, Dehradun

December 2025





Background

- Stochastic Shortest Path problems (SSPs)
- Capture many RL problems ¹: navigation, swarm robotics, goal-oriented games, etc.



- Some notable work on learning of SSPs include
 - [Tarbouriech et al., 2020, Tarbouriech et al., 2021]
 - [Cohen et al., 2021, Min et al., 2021, Vial et al., 2021]

¹Dimitri Bertsekas, Dynamic Programming and Optimal Control, Vols. I and II, Athena Scientific, 1995, (3rd Ed. Vol. I, 2005, 4th Ed. Vol. II, 2012) → (3rd Ed. Vol. II, 2012)

Motivation & Challenges for Multi-Agent SSPs

- Focus had been on single-agent SSP learning
- Rarely a single agent traverses the network
- For two or more agents we need to model the *congestion*
- Often these agents act on their own but have a common objective
- The agents affect each others' costs and transitions
- A natural approach: use a central controller
 - This has scalability issues
- Another extreme: no communication
 - The common objective can't be attained
- [Trivedi and Hemachandra, 2023] introduce fully decentralized Multi-Agent version of the SSP learning problem

Setting: Learning MASSPs

- Defined by tuple $(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{N}, \mathbb{P}, \mathcal{A})$
- Nodes $\mathcal{V} = \{v_1, \dots, v_q\}$, agents $\mathcal{N} = \{1, \dots, n\}$
- Global state $\mathbf{s} = (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in \mathcal{S} = \mathcal{V}^n$
- ullet Agents start at $oldsymbol{s}_{ ext{init}}$ to reach the goal state $oldsymbol{g}$
- Global action a, transition kernel $\mathbb{P}(\cdot \mid s, a)$
- Cost for agent $i: c_i(s, a) \in [c_{\min}, 1]$
- Global cost $\bar{c}(s, \mathbf{a}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i(s, \mathbf{a})$
- Agents communicate via a *communication* network

Objective

Learn a policy π^* that minimizes $V^{\pi}(s_{\text{init}})$ over K episodes

$$V^{\pi}(oldsymbol{s}_{ ext{init}}) = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{t=1}^{ au^{\pi}(oldsymbol{s}^1)} c(oldsymbol{s}^t, \pi(oldsymbol{s}^t)) \; \middle| \; oldsymbol{s}^1 = oldsymbol{s}_{ ext{init}}
ight]$$

Linear Model and Performance Metric

Assumption (Linear Dynamics)

For every (s, a, s'), there exist known features $\phi(s'|s, a) \in \mathbb{R}^{nd}$ and unknown parameter $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{nd}$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(s'|s, a) = \langle \phi(s'|s, a), \theta \rangle$$

 \bullet This defines the family of $linear\ mixture\ MASSPs$ (LM-MASSPs)

Performance Metric (Regret)

The regret over K episodes is

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta,\pi}[R(K)] = \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{h=1}^{h_k} c(\boldsymbol{s}^{k,h}, \boldsymbol{a}^{k,h})\right] - K \cdot V^*(\boldsymbol{s}_{\text{init}}),$$

where h_k is the random length of episode k under the algorithm π

Central Question

Problem

What are the fundamental limits of learning in decentralized multi-agent SSPs with linear function approximation?

• **Solution:** Establish tight regret lower bounds via construction of hard-to-learn instances

Our Approach

- Construct families of provably hard-to-learn 2-node instances
- $V = \{s, g\};$ $S = \{s, g\}^n$
- Action set $A_i = \{-1, 1\}^{d-1}$; $A = \{-1, 1\}^{n(d-1)}$
- Key ideas
 - Design feature map $\phi: (s, a, s') \to \mathbb{R}^{nd}$ to ensure valid linear transitions, parameterized by $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{nd}$
 - Obtain an analytically tractable optimal policy
- An instance is given by $(n, \delta, \Delta, \theta)$

Optimal Policy Structure

- Partition states by type
- $S_r := \text{states}$ with exactly r agents at node $s \in V$

Theorem (Optimal Policy Structure)

For any instance $(n, \delta, \Delta, \theta)$,

- Optimal policy: choose a_{θ} in every state
- Optimal value depends only on type r: V_r^*
- $0 = V_0^* < \dots < V_n^* = B^*$ (B* is SSP diameter)

Lower Bound on Regret

Theorem (Lower bound)

For any decentralized learning algorithm π , $\delta \in (2/5, 1/2)$ and $\Delta < 2^{-n} \cdot \frac{1-2\delta}{1+n+n^2}$, there exists

an LM-MASSP instance such that for $K > \frac{n(d-1)^2 \cdot \delta}{2^{10}B^*\left(\frac{1-2\delta}{1+n+n^2}\right)^2}$ episodes,

$$\mathbb{E}_{\theta,\pi}[R(K)] \ge \frac{d \cdot \sqrt{\delta} \cdot \sqrt{KB^*/n}}{2^{n+9}}$$

- This matches the \sqrt{K} regret upper bound for LM-MASSPs [Trivedi and Hemachandra, 2023]
- When n = 1, it recovers the regret lower bound [Min et al., 2021]



References I



Cohen, A., Efroni, Y., Mansour, Y., and Rosenberg, A. (2021).

Minimax regret for stochastic shortest path.

Advances in neural information processing systems, 34:28350–28361.



Min, Y., He, J., Wang, T., and Gu, Q. (2021).

Learning stochastic shortest path with linear function approximation.

In International Conference on Machine Learning.



Tarbouriech, J., Garcelon, E., Valko, M., Pirotta, M., and Lazaric, A. (2020).

No-regret exploration in goal-oriented reinforcement learning.

In III, H. D. and Singh, A., editors, *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 119 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 9428–9437. PMLR.



Tarbouriech, J., Zhou, R., Du, S. S., Pirotta, M., Valko, M., and Lazaric, A. (2021).

Stochastic shortest path: Minimax, parameter-free and towards horizon-free regret.

 $Advances\ in\ neural\ information\ processing\ systems,\ 34:6843-6855.$

References II



Trivedi, P. and Hemachandra, N. (2023).

Multi-agent congestion cost minimization with linear function approximations. In *International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, pages 7611–7643, PMLR.



Vial, D., Parulekar, A., Shakkottai, S., and Srikant, R. (2021).

Regret bounds for stochastic shortest path problems with linear function approximation.

In International Conference on Machine Learning.

Thank You!