BlockScan: Detecting Anomalies in Blockchain Transactions

Author: Jiahao Yu*, Xian Wu*, Hao Liu, Wenbo Guo, Xinyu Xing Presenter: Jiahao Yu

Northwestern







The Problem: DeFi is Under Attack

Attacks against DeFi protocols (front-running, re-entrancy, etc.) cause billions in losses. We need to detect anomalous transactions *before* they do irreversible damage.

Goal: Identify transactions that exploit vulnerabilities, characterized by irregular method calls, abnormal parameters, and unusual operations.

Why Existing Methods Fail

Rule-Based & Traditional ML

Rule-Based: Cannot generalize to new, unseen attack patterns.

Traditional ML (GMM, LSTM): Fail to capture the complex, high-dimensional, and Tokenization Failure: They treat critical sequential nature of transaction data.

Vanilla LLMs (e.g., GPT-4/5)

Wrong Tool for the Job: Off-the-shelf models don't understand the unique data structure of a transaction.

hashes (like `0x9fa0bc94`) and large numbers as generic text, losing all semantic meaning.

Our Solution: BlockScan

A Customized Transformer for Transactions

A BERT-style (RoBERTa) model, pretrained with Masked Language Modeling (MLM), to learn the "normal" patterns of benign transactions. Anomalies are transactions the model fails to reconstruct.

Core Challenge: Data is Multi-Modal

A blockchain transaction isn't just text. It's a complex, multi-modal structure.

- Hashes/Addresses: e.g., `0x4deca5...`
- Large Numbers: e.g., `1962908` (gas)
- Text Logs: e.g., "Program... consumed..."

Key Design 1: Multi-Modal Tokenizer

```
[CALL]
                                         [START]
                                                              0xc1f351...5d0
                                                                                0x4deca5...bac
                                                                                                   0x9fa0bc94
 1. "type": "CALL",
 2. "from": "0xc1f351...5d0".
                                        start indicator
                                                                                       3.
                                                                                                       4.
 5. "gas": 1962908,
                                        of the calling call indicator
                                                                 from address
                                                                                    to address
                                                                                                    function id
 "to": "0x4deca5...bac",
                                         0x000000 ... 39c
                                                            0x000000...000
                                                                              [INs]
                                                                                       data
                                                                                              0x476f76...000
 4. "func": "0x9fa0bc94",
                                                                                                   7.
 7. "args": [...],
                                          5.gas 1962908
                                                             6. value 0
                                                                              7. input
    "output": [{"type": "data",
                                          converted to hex
                                                          converted to hex
                                                                              indicator
                                                                                             input type and data
        "data": "0x000000...009"}].
                                                                                              [OUTs]
                                         address
                                                      0x000000...5d0
                                                                          data
                                                                                   . . . . . .
                                                                                                          data
    "calls": [{
                                                                                               8. output
             "type": "DELEGATECALL",
                                                                                               indicator
             "from": "0x4deca5...bac".
                                                                          "Program PhoeNi...units"
             "gas": 1930278,
                                         0x000000 ... 009
                                                            [logs]
                                                                                                         [END]
             "to": "0x35dd16...5e8",
                                                                                                    end indicator
                                                8.
                                                                                    9.
                                                             9.log
10
             "func": "0x9fa0bc94",
                                                                                                    of the calling
                                          output type and data
                                                            indicator
                                                                                log messages
             "args": [...],
                                          [START]
                                                    [DELEGATECALL]
                                                                                0x35dd16...5e8
                                                                        [00V]
                                                                                                   0x9fa0bc94
             "output": [...],
                                                                        out of
             "calls": [...],
                                                     10.
                                                                      vocabulary
             "logs": [...]
                                            subsequent call's infomation
    "logMessages": [
                                         0x000000...426 [NONE] [INs] data 0x476f76...000 ...... [OUTs]
 9. "Program PhoeNi... invoke [2]",
                                                        data does not
    "Program PhoeNi... consumed
                                                            exist
              none compute units"],
                                         data 0x000000...009 [END] [START] [STATICCALL] ..... [END]
 6. "value": 0
```

Key Design 2: Model & Detection

1. Pre-training (MLM)

We train a RoBERTa-style model on millions of benign transactions.

2. Detection

Anomalies = High Reconstruction Error.

Experimental Results

Method	k=10			k=15			k=20		
	FPR	Recall	Precision	FPR	Recall	Precision	FPR	Recall	Precision
BlockGPT	0.47%	16.67%	30%	0.73%	22.22%	26.67%	1%	27.78%	25%
Doc2Vec	0.67%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1.13%	0%	0%
GPT-40	0.67%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1.13%	0%	0%
Heuristic	0.67%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	1.13%	0%	0%
BlockScan	0.13%	44.44%	80%	0.2%	66.67%	80%	0.47%	72.22%	65%

Table 1: Performance comparison with different k values for Solana.

Method	k=5			k=10			k=15		
	FPR	Recall	Precision	FPR	Recall	Precision	FPR	Recall	Precision
BlockGPT	0.14%	40%	80%	0.42%	70%	70%	0.99%	80%	53.33%
Doc2Vec	0.56%	10%	20%	1.12%	20%	20%	1.83%	20%	13.33%
GPT-40	0.28%	30%	60%	0.98%	30%	30%	1.55%	40%	26.67%
Heuristic	0.14%	40%	80%	0.42%	70%	70%	1.13%	70%	46.67%
BlockScan	0%	50%	100%	0.28%	80%	80%	0.97%	80%	53.33%

Table 2: Performance comparison with different k values for Ethereum.

Thank you!

Code: https://github.com/nuwuxian/tx fm